



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/019,949	01/07/2002	Kazuhiro Nakashima	0397-0438P 6273		
2292	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	WART KOLASCH &	GABEL, GAILENE			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		,	ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
	,		1641		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/019,949	NAKASHIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gailene R. Gabel	1641		

	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened state.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the mailing date on the mailing date on the CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fig. 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the feestatutory period for reply originally set in the	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	O WITHIN TWO ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	s are the maining date of the ima rejection	on, even in unicay med, me	y reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.⁴		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-7</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8-10,13 and 14</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	LONG V. LE SO ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (%)	neco Velor	
Sur T	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600	75	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1, step d) was amended to include that the target antigen or target anibody is measured based on the "counted agglutinated insoluble carrier particles", which raises new issues for consideration of patentability determination under the provisions of 35 USC 102 or 103.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment set forth for claim 1 introduced new issues, not previously recited, for further consideration of patentability.